			U.S. DISTRICT COU THERN DISTRICT OF FILED	
IN THE UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT		FILLIA	7
FOR THE NORTHERN DALLAS I			SEP 6 2008	
FRANKIE LEE HOGG, 1198298,)			
Plaintiff,)	CLF	ERK, U.S. DISTRICT	COURT
V.	No. 3:06-CV-2	275-B	y	
) ECF		Deputy	
NATHANIEL QUARTERMEN, Director, Texas)	مستنوب بشينت بتعدا		
Dept. Of Criminal Justice, Correctional Institutions)			× .
Division,)			
Defendant.)			

ORDER OF THE COURT ON THE FOREGOING RECOMMENDATION

Considering the record in this case and the above recommendation, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Appell

(\mathbf{X})	the party appealing is GRANTED in forma pauperis status on appeal.
()	the party appealing is proceeding in forma pauperis.
()	the party appealing is DENIED in forma pauperis status on appeal for the following reasons:
	 the Court certifies, pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 24(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (a)(3), the the appeal is not taken in good faith. In support of this finding, the Court adopts are incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation entered in this case on November 2, 2006. Based upon the Magistrate Judge's findings, the Court finds that the appeal presents no legal points of arguable merit and is therefore frivolous. See Harkins v. Roberts, 935 F. Supp. 871, 873 (S. D. Miss. 1996) (citing Howard v. King, 707 F. 2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983)). the person appealing is not a pauper; the person appealing has not complied with the requirements of Rule 24 of the Feder Rules of Appellate Procedure and /or 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) as ordered by the Court (See Notice of Deficiency and Order entered on).
<u>COA</u> :	
()	a Certificate of Appealability is GRANTED on the following issues:
the M of its	Certificate of Appealability is DENIED. The Court hereby adopts and incorporates by reference agistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation filed in this case on April 29, 2008, in support finding that Petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing of the denial of a feder tutional right. See Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 120 S. Ct. 1595, 1602, 146 L. Ed. 2d 54